

International Conference on Noncommutative Geometry,  
Analysis on Groups, and Mathematical Physics

Ghent University, Belgium

February 26-27, 2024

## Zero-trace commutators of measurable operators

A. M. Bikchentaev

Kazan Federal University

26.02.2024

# Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  ( $0 < p < +\infty$ ) be the Schatten – von Neumann ideal in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

An operator  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called a *commutator*, if  $X = [A, B] = AB - BA$  for some  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

If  $\dim \mathcal{H} < +\infty$  then for  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is zero-diagonal in some basis in  $\mathcal{H}$ ;
- (ii)  $\operatorname{tr}(X) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $X$  is a commutator.

# Introduction

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is separable and  $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a commutator  $\Leftrightarrow X$  has no form  $\lambda I + K$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a compact operator [Brown, Pearcy, 1965]. Hence, every compact operator  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  has the form  $K = [A, B]$  with some  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . For a suitable  $K$  from  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  of trace class operators we have  $[A, B] \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  with  $\text{tr}([A, B]) \neq 0$ .

# Introduction

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is separable and  $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a commutator  $\Leftrightarrow X$  has no form  $\lambda I + K$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a compact operator [Brown, Percy, 1965]. Hence, every compact operator  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  has the form  $K = [A, B]$  with some  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . For a suitable  $K$  from  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  of trace class operators we have  $[A, B] \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  with  $\text{tr}([A, B]) \neq 0$ .

[Fan P., 1984]: Equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) holds for  $X \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ .

[Fan P., Fong C. K., 1980]: a Hermitian compact operator  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a selfcommutator  $[A^*, A]$  of a compact operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \Leftrightarrow$  condition (i) holds.

[Fan P., Fong C. K., Che K., Herrero D. A., 1987]: for a Hermitian operator  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ :

Condition (i) $\Leftrightarrow \text{tr}(X_+) = \text{tr}(X_-)$ , where  $X_+ = (|X| + X)/2$ ,  
 $X_- = |X| - X_+$ .

# Introduction

[Fan P., Fong C. K., Che K. 1994]: for  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

Condition (i)  $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}X)_+) = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Im}(e^{i\theta}X)_-)$  for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ .

If  $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a non-unitary isometry and  $\dim(I - UU^*)\mathcal{H} < +\infty$  then the trace of a selfcommutator  $[U^*, U] = I - UU^*$  is non-zero. If

$U = X + iY$  is the Cartesian decomposition of  $U$  with  $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{sa}}$  then  $[U^*, U] = 2i[X, Y]$ , i.e., there exist Hermitian bounded operators such that their commutator lies in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  and has non-zero trace.

# Introduction

[Fan P., Fong C. K., Che K. 1994]: for  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

Condition (i)  $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}X)_+) = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Im}(e^{i\theta}X)_-)$  for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ .

If  $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a non-unitary isometry and  $\dim(I - UU^*)\mathcal{H} < +\infty$  then the trace of a selfcommutator  $[U^*, U] = I - UU^*$  is non-zero. If

$U = X + iY$  is the Cartesian decomposition of  $U$  with  $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{sa}}$  then  $[U^*, U] = 2i[X, Y]$ , i.e., there exist Hermitian bounded operators such that their commutator lies in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  and has non-zero trace.

But if  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{sa}}$  and an operator  $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is compact with  $[X, Y] \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  then  $\operatorname{tr}([X, Y]) = 0$  [Helton J., Howe R., 1975].

# Introduction

[Weiss G., 1978] showed that  $\text{tr}([T, X]) = 0$  for normal operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{S}_2$  with  $[T, X] \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ .

[Kittaneh F., 1986] generalized this result to some non normal operators.

[Kittaneh F., 1991] for  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{S}_2$  with  $[T, X] \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  proved that  $\text{tr}([T, X]) = 0$  under one of conditions: a)  $T^2$  is normal, or b)  $T^n$  is normal for some integer  $n > 2$  and  $[T^*, T] \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ .

# Notation and Definitions

Let a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of operators act on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}^+$  be the positive part of  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $I$  be the unit of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$  be the projection lattice of  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $P^\perp = I - P$  for  $P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$ .

A mapping  $\varphi : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is called a *trace*, if

- $\varphi(X + Y) = \varphi(X) + \varphi(Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}^+$ ;
- $\varphi(\lambda X) = \lambda \varphi(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  (moreover,  $0 \cdot (+\infty) \equiv 0$ );
- $\varphi(Z^*Z) = \varphi(ZZ^*)$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ .



## Notation and Definitions

Let a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of operators act on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}^+$  be the positive part of  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $I$  be the unit of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$  be the projection lattice of  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $P^\perp = I - P$  for  $P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$ .

A mapping  $\varphi : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is called a *trace*, if

- $\varphi(X + Y) = \varphi(X) + \varphi(Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}^+$ ;
- $\varphi(\lambda X) = \lambda \varphi(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  (moreover,  $0 \cdot (+\infty) \equiv 0$ );
- $\varphi(Z^*Z) = \varphi(ZZ^*)$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ .

A trace  $\varphi$  is called

- *faithful*, if  $\varphi(X) > 0$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$ ,  $X \neq 0$ ;
- *normal*, if  $X_i \nearrow X$  ( $X_i, X \in \mathcal{M}^+$ )  $\Rightarrow \varphi(X) = \sup \varphi(X_i)$ ;
- *semifinite*, if  $\varphi(X) = \sup\{\varphi(Y) : Y \in \mathcal{M}^+, Y \leq X, \varphi(Y) < +\infty\}$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$ .

# Definitions

Let  $\tau$  be a faithful normal semifinite trace on  $\mathcal{M}$ . An operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  (not necessarily bounded or densely defined) is said to be *affiliated to the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$*  if it commutes with any unitary operator from the commutant  $\mathcal{M}'$  of the algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . A closed operator  $X$ , affiliated to  $\mathcal{M}$  and possessing a domain  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  everywhere dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be  $\tau$ -measurable if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a projection  $P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$  such that  $P\mathcal{H} \subset \mathfrak{D}(X)$  and  $\tau(P^\perp) < \varepsilon$ .

# Definitions

Let  $\tau$  be a faithful normal semifinite trace on  $\mathcal{M}$ . An operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  (not necessarily bounded or densely defined) is said to be *affiliated to the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$*  if it commutes with any unitary operator from the commutant  $\mathcal{M}'$  of the algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . A closed operator  $X$ , affiliated to  $\mathcal{M}$  and possessing a domain  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  everywhere dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be  $\tau$ -measurable if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a projection  $P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$  such that  $P\mathcal{H} \subset \mathfrak{D}(X)$  and  $\tau(P^\perp) < \varepsilon$ .

The set  $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  of all  $\tau$ -measurable operators is a  $*$ -algebra under passage to the adjoint operator, multiplication by a scalar, and operations of strong addition and multiplication resulting from the closure of the ordinary operations.

# Definitions

The generalized singular value function  $\mu(\cdot; X) : t \rightarrow \mu(t; X)$  of the operator  $X$  is defined by setting

$$\mu(t; X) = \inf\{\|XP\| : P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} \text{ and } \tau(P^\perp) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

# Definitions

The generalized singular value function  $\mu(\cdot; X) : t \rightarrow \mu(t; X)$  of the operator  $X$  is defined by setting

$$\mu(t; X) = \inf\{\|XP\| : P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} \text{ and } \tau(P^\perp) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Let  $m$  be the linear Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Noncommutative Lebesgue  $L_p$ -space ( $0 < p < \infty$ ), associated with  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , may be defined as

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \mu(\cdot; X) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^+, m)\}$$

with the  $F$ -norm (norm for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ )  $\|X\|_p = \|\mu(\cdot; X)\|_p$ ,  $X \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . The extension of  $\tau$  to the unique linear functional on the whole space  $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  we denote by the same letter  $\tau$ .

## Examples

**Example 1.** If  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , and  $\tau = \text{tr}$  is the canonical trace, then  $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the space  $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  coincides with the Shatten – von Neumann  $*$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  of compact operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and

$$\mu(t; X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n(X) \chi_{[n-1, n)}(t), \quad t > 0,$$

where  $\{s_n(X)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is the sequence of  $s$ -numbers of  $X$ ;  $\chi_A$  is the indicator function of the set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

## Examples

**Example 1.** If  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , and  $\tau = \text{tr}$  is the canonical trace, then  $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the space  $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  coincides with the Schatten – von Neumann  $*$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  of compact operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and

$$\mu(t; X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n(X) \chi_{[n-1, n)}(t), \quad t > 0,$$

where  $\{s_n(X)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is the sequence of  $s$ -numbers of  $X$ ;  $\chi_A$  is the indicator function of the set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 2.** If  $\mathcal{M}$  is Abelian (i. e., commutative), then  $\mathcal{M} \simeq L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  and  $\tau(f) = \int f d\nu$ , where  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  is a localized measure space, the  $*$ -algebra  $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  coincides with the algebra of all complex measurable functions  $f$  on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$ , bounded everywhere but for a set of finite measure. The function  $\mu(t; f)$  coincides with the nonincreasing rearrangement of the function  $|f|$ .

**Theorem 1.** If the trace  $\tau$  is infinite, then the positive selfcommutator  $[A^*, A]$  ( $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ) cannot have the form  $\lambda I + K$ , where  $\lambda$  is a non-zero complex number and an operator  $K$  is  $\tau$ -compact.



# Results

**Theorem 1.** If the trace  $\tau$  is infinite, then the positive selfcommutator  $[A^*, A]$  ( $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ) cannot have the form  $\lambda I + K$ , where  $\lambda$  is a non-zero complex number and an operator  $K$  is  $\tau$ -compact.

**Theorem 2.** (a generalization of C. Putnam Theorem, 1951):  
a positive selfcommutator  $[A^*, A]$  ( $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ) cannot have the inverse in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We have two different proofs of Theorem 2.

The first proof is published in [Bikchentaev, LJM, 2023]; the second proof will be published in [Bikchentaev, Siberian Math. J., 2024].

# The main Question

Let  $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be the Banach space of all  $\tau$ -integrable operators.  
Let  $A, B \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $[A, B] \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

**Question:** under which conditions  $\tau([A, B]) = 0$ ?

[Bikchentaev, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. of RAS, 2016, **Theorem 4.8**]:

If  $\tau(I) = 1$  and  $X \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  then

$\tau(X) = 0 \iff \|I + zX\|_1 \geq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorems 3 and 4

**Theorem 3.** If  $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ,  $Y = Y^3 \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $[X, Y] \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\tau([X, Y]) = 0$ .

## Theorems 3 and 4

**Theorem 3.** If  $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ,  $Y = Y^3 \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $[X, Y] \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\tau([X, Y]) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** If  $A^2 = A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $[A^*, A] \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\tau([A^*, A]) = 0$ .

## Corollaries from Theorem 4

**Corollary 1.** If  $X = X^3 \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , and if an operator  $X^2 - X$  is Hermitian and  $[X^*, X] \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  then  $\tau([X^*, X]) = 0$ .

**Corollary 2.** If  $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with  $X^2 = I$  and  $[X^*, X] \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  then  $\tau([X^*, X]) = 0$ .

**Proof.** The formula  $X = 2P - I$  ( $P \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{id}}$ ) establishes a bijection between  $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{id}}$  and the set of all symmetries from  $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

## Corollaries from Theorem 4

**Corollary 1.** If  $X = X^3 \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , and if an operator  $X^2 - X$  is Hermitian and  $[X^*, X] \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  then  $\tau([X^*, X]) = 0$ .

**Corollary 2.** If  $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with  $X^2 = I$  and  $[X^*, X] \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  then  $\tau([X^*, X]) = 0$ .

**Proof.** The formula  $X = 2P - I$  ( $P \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{id}}$ ) establishes a bijection between  $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{id}}$  and the set of all symmetries from  $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

For examples of unbounded idempotents  $P \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  see [Bikchentaev, Math. Notes, 2015, 2016].

## Theorems 5 and 6

**Theorem 5.** If a partial isometry  $U$  lies in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $U^n = 0$  for some integer  $n \geq 2$ , then the operator  $U^{n-1}$  is a commutator and if  $U^{n-1} \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\tau(U^{n-1}) = 0$ .

**Corollary 3.** If a partial isometry  $U \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and the projections  $P = U^*U$ ,  $Q = UU^*$  are mutually orthogonal then  $U^2 = 0$ . Hence,  $U$  is a commutator and  $\tau(U) = 0$ .

## Theorems 5 and 6

**Theorem 5.** If a partial isometry  $U$  lies in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $U^n = 0$  for some integer  $n \geq 2$ , then the operator  $U^{n-1}$  is a commutator and if  $U^{n-1} \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\tau(U^{n-1}) = 0$ .

**Corollary 3.** If a partial isometry  $U \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and the projections  $P = U^*U$ ,  $Q = UU^*$  are mutually orthogonal then  $U^2 = 0$ . Hence,  $U$  is a commutator and  $\tau(U) = 0$ .

**Theorem 6.** If  $P, Q \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{id}}$  and  $P - Q \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\tau(P - Q) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Corollary 4.** If  $A = A^3 \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\tau(A) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Corollary 5.** Assume that  $A, B \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  are tripotents. If  $A - B \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $A + B \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\tau(A - B) \in \mathbb{R}$ .



## Corollary 6

**Corollary 6.** Let  $U, V \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be symmetries. If  $U - V \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\tau(U - V) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The work is performed under the development program of Volga Region Mathematical Center (agreement no. 075-02-2023-944).

Thank you!