

# Li-Yau and Harnack estimates for nonlocal diffusion problems

Rico Zacher

Institute of Applied Analysis  
Ulm University, Germany

partly joint work with  
S. Kräss, A. Spener and F. Weber

# 1. Introduction: Classical Li-Yau and CD inequality

## The classical Li-Yau inequality

Suppose  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  solves  $\partial_t u - \Delta u = 0$ . Then

$$-\Delta(\log u) \leq \frac{d}{2t} \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1)$$

Since  $\partial_t(\log u) - \Delta(\log u) = |\nabla(\log u)|^2$ , this is equivalent to

$$\partial_t(\log u) \geq |\nabla(\log u)|^2 - \frac{d}{2t} \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2)$$

- This extends to complete  $d$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds  $M$  with  $\text{Ric}(M) \geq 0$  (Li, Yau, Acta Math. 1986).

## The classical Li-Yau inequality

Suppose  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  solves  $\partial_t u - \Delta u = 0$ . Then

$$-\Delta(\log u) \leq \frac{d}{2t} \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1)$$

Since  $\partial_t(\log u) - \Delta(\log u) = |\nabla(\log u)|^2$ , this is equivalent to

$$\partial_t(\log u) \geq |\nabla(\log u)|^2 - \frac{d}{2t} \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2)$$

- This extends to complete  $d$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds  $M$  with  $\text{Ric}(M) \geq 0$  (Li, Yau, Acta Math. 1986).
- (2) is sharp, one has equality for  $u(t, x) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp\left(\frac{-|x|^2}{4t}\right)$ .
- Integration of (2) over a path connecting  $(t_1, x_1)$  and  $(t_2, x_2)$  with  $0 < t_1 < t_2$  gives the sharp Harnack estimate

$$u(t_1, x_1) \leq u(t_2, x_2) \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\right)^{d/2} \exp\left(\frac{|x_1 - x_2|^2}{4(t_2 - t_1)}\right).$$

How can we prove Li-Yau? Basic idea:  $v := \log u$  solves

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v = |\nabla v|^2, \quad (3)$$

by the [chain rule](#)  $\Delta H(u) = H'(u)\Delta u + H''(u)|\nabla u|^2$  with  $H = \log$ .  
So we need  $-\Delta v \leq \frac{d}{2t}$ .

How can we prove Li-Yau? Basic idea:  $v := \log u$  solves

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v = |\nabla v|^2, \quad (3)$$

by the **chain rule**  $\Delta H(u) = H'(u)\Delta u + H''(u)|\nabla u|^2$  with  $H = \log$ . So we need  $-\Delta v \leq \frac{d}{2t}$ . Apply  $\Delta$  to (3) and use **Bochner's identity**.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Delta v - \Delta(\Delta v) &= \Delta(|\nabla v|^2) \\ &= 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla \Delta v + 2|\nabla^2 v|_{HS}^2 \quad \left( + 2\text{Ric}(\nabla v, \nabla v) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $|\nabla^2 v|_{HS}^2 \geq \frac{1}{d} (\Delta v)^2$  (a **CD-inequality**), and thus

$$\partial_t \Delta v - \Delta(\Delta v) \geq 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla \Delta v + \frac{2}{d} (\Delta v)^2$$

$\omega(t) := -\frac{d}{2t}$  solves  $\partial_t \omega = \frac{2}{d} \omega^2$ . Comparison arg.  $\hookrightarrow \Delta v \geq \omega$

## $\Gamma$ -calculus by Bakry and Émery

Let  $L$  be the generator of a Markov semigroup.

$$\Gamma(f, g) = \frac{1}{2}(L(fg) - fLg - gLf), \text{ (carré du champ)}$$

$$\Gamma_2(f, g) = \frac{1}{2}(L\Gamma(f, g) - \Gamma(f, Lg) - \Gamma(g, Lf)), \text{ (iterated carré du champ)}$$

$$\Gamma(f) = \Gamma(f, f), \quad \Gamma_2(f) = \Gamma_2(f, f).$$

## $\Gamma$ -calculus by Bakry and Émery

Let  $L$  be the generator of a Markov semigroup.

$$\Gamma(f, g) = \frac{1}{2}(L(fg) - fLg - gLf), \text{ (carré du champ)}$$

$$\Gamma_2(f, g) = \frac{1}{2}(L\Gamma(f, g) - \Gamma(f, Lg) - \Gamma(g, Lf)), \text{ (iterated carré du champ)}$$

$$\Gamma(f) = \Gamma(f, f), \quad \Gamma_2(f) = \Gamma_2(f, f).$$

$L$  satisfies the **curvature-dimension inequality**  $CD(\kappa, d)$  with  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $d \in [1, \infty]$  if ( $\mu$  is a fixed invariant and reversible measure)

$$\Gamma_2(f) \geq \kappa \Gamma(f) + \frac{1}{d}(Lf)^2, \quad \mu - a.e.$$

$$L = \Delta \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d: \Gamma(f, g) = \nabla f \cdot \nabla g, \Gamma_2(f) = |\nabla^2 f|_{HS}^2 \Rightarrow CD(0, d)$$



Suppose that  $L$  is a diffusion generator, i.e. for all  $H \in C^2$  and suitable  $f$  the chain rule  $LH(f) = H'(f)Lf + H''(f)\Gamma(f)$  holds.

Suppose that  $L$  is a diffusion generator, i.e. for all  $H \in C^2$  and suitable  $f$  the chain rule  $LH(f) = H'(f)Lf + H''(f)\Gamma(f)$  holds.

- $CD(0, d)$ ,  $d < \infty$ ,  $f$  pos.  $\Rightarrow -L(\log e^{Lt}f) \leq \frac{d}{2t}$ ,  $t > 0$  (Li-Yau)

Suppose that  $L$  is a diffusion generator, i.e. for all  $H \in C^2$  and suitable  $f$  the chain rule  $LH(f) = H'(f)Lf + H''(f)\Gamma(f)$  holds.

- $CD(0, d)$ ,  $d < \infty$ ,  $f$  pos.  $\Rightarrow -L(\log e^{Lt}f) \leq \frac{d}{2t}$ ,  $t > 0$  (Li-Yau)
- $CD(\kappa, \infty)$ ,  $\kappa > 0 \Rightarrow$  log-Sobolev and Poincaré inequality  
( $\Rightarrow$  exp. decay of entropy and variance, hypercontractivity,...)

See the monograph by Bakry, Gentil, Ledoux, *Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators* (2014)

## 2. Nonlocal operators: examples and difficulties

Consider Markov generators of the form ( $X$  a metric space)

$$Lf(x) = \int_X (f(y) - f(x)) k(x, dy).$$

Key feature: **difference structure** under integral.

Consider Markov generators of the form ( $X$  a metric space)

$$Lf(x) = \int_X (f(y) - f(x)) k(x, dy).$$

Key feature: **difference structure** under integral. Examples:

- Fractional Laplacian:  $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 2)$ ,

$$Lf(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} f(x) = c_{\beta,d} \text{ p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{|x - y|^{d+\beta}} dy.$$

Consider Markov generators of the form ( $X$  a metric space)

$$Lf(x) = \int_X (f(y) - f(x)) k(x, dy).$$

Key feature: **difference structure** under integral. Examples:

- Fractional Laplacian:  $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 2)$ ,

$$Lf(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} f(x) = c_{\beta,d} \text{ p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{|x - y|^{d+\beta}} dy.$$

- Generators of Markov chains (generalised Laplacians on a graph):

$X$  is a finite or countable set,

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y) (f(y) - f(x)).$$

Consider positive solutions  $u$  of

$$\partial_t u - Lu = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

**Questions:** Under which conditions does a Li-Yau inequality hold?  
Does the Li-Yau estimate imply a parabolic Harnack inequality?



Consider positive solutions  $u$  of

$$\partial_t u - Lu = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

**Questions:** Under which conditions does a Li-Yau inequality hold?  
Does the Li-Yau estimate imply a parabolic Harnack inequality?

(for  $L = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$  addressed in a survey by Garofalo, *Fractional thoughts...* (2019), as a key open problem)

Consider positive solutions  $u$  of

$$\partial_t u - Lu = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

**Questions:** Under which conditions does a Li-Yau inequality hold?  
Does the Li-Yau estimate imply a parabolic Harnack inequality?

(for  $L = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$  addressed in a survey by Garofalo, *Fractional thoughts...* (2019), as a key open problem)

**Difficulties:**

- The chain rule fails for  $L$ .

Consider positive solutions  $u$  of

$$\partial_t u - Lu = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

**Questions:** Under which conditions does a Li-Yau inequality hold?  
Does the Li-Yau estimate imply a parabolic Harnack inequality?

(for  $L = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$  addressed in a survey by Garofalo, *Fractional thoughts...* (2019), as a key open problem)

**Difficulties:**

- The chain rule fails for  $L$ .
- What is the right form of the Li-Yau inequality?

Consider positive solutions  $u$  of

$$\partial_t u - Lu = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

**Questions:** Under which conditions does a Li-Yau inequality hold?  
Does the Li-Yau estimate imply a parabolic Harnack inequality?

(for  $L = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$  addressed in a survey by Garofalo, *Fractional thoughts...* (2019), as a key open problem)

**Difficulties:**

- The chain rule fails for  $L$ .
- What is the right form of the Li-Yau inequality?
- $CD(0, d)$  is not strong enough. New CD-conditions required.

Consider positive solutions  $u$  of

$$\partial_t u - Lu = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

**Questions:** Under which conditions does a Li-Yau inequality hold?  
Does the Li-Yau estimate imply a parabolic Harnack inequality?

(for  $L = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$  addressed in a survey by Garofalo, *Fractional thoughts...* (2019), as a key open problem)

**Difficulties:**

- The chain rule fails for  $L$ .
- What is the right form of the Li-Yau inequality?
- $CD(0, d)$  is not strong enough. New CD-conditions required.
- To obtain Harnack, we have to argue with a "nonlocal gradient".

## Positive results on finite and locally finite graphs

- Bauer, Horn, Lin, Lippner, Mangoubi, Yau: Li-Yau inequality on graphs. J. Diff. Geom., 2015:

square root approach,  $CDE(\kappa, d)$  and  $CDE'(\kappa, d)$ , Li-Yau (with  $\frac{C}{t}$ ) and Harnack on finite and infinite graphs

- Münch: Li-Yau inequality on finite graphs via non-linear curvature dimension condition. J. Math. Pures Appl., 2018:

$\Gamma^\psi$ -calculus for concave  $\psi$ ,  $CD_\psi(\kappa, d)$ ,  $\psi = \sqrt{\cdot}$  covers some of the previous results,  $\psi = \log$  gives log. Li-Yau, Harnack; finite graphs

- Dier, Kassmann, Z.: Discrete versions of the Li-Yau gradient estimate. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), 2021:

log. Li-Yau and Harnack based on  $CD(F; 0)$ ,  $F$  a CD-function, more general relaxation functions, improved estimates, some even sharp

On [discrete curvature](#): Erbar, Maas (ARMA 2012), Mielke (Calc. Var. PDE 2013); different approach based on optimal transport

## CD-functions

Crucial idea: Replace  $(\dots)^2$  in  $CD(0, d)$  by a more general function.

### Definition

A continuous function  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called **CD-function**, if  $F(0) = 0$ ,  $F(x)/x$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , and  $1/F$  is integrable at  $\infty$ . (Example:  $F(x) = \nu x^2$  with  $\nu > 0$ .)

### Lemma

Let  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a CD-function. Then there is a unique strictly positive solution  $\varphi$  of the ODE

$$\dot{\varphi}(t) + F(\varphi(t)) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$

which has  $(0, \infty)$  as its maximal interval of existence. This function  $\varphi$  is strictly decreasing and log-convex, and it satisfies  $\varphi(0+) = \infty$  and  $\varphi(\infty) = 0$ . Call  $\varphi$  the **relaxation function** associated with  $F$ .

## Equation for $\log u$

Observation in Dier, Kassmann, Z. (2021): Consider Markov chain setting, i.e.

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y)(f(y) - f(x)).$$

Suppose  $u$  is positive and  $\partial_t u - Lu = 0$  in  $(0, \infty) \times X$ . Then

$v := \log u$  solves

$$\partial_t v - Lv = \Psi_{\Upsilon}(v) \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times X.$$

$$\Psi_H(f)(x) := \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y)H(f(y) - f(x)), \quad \Upsilon(z) = e^z - 1 - z$$

classical case:  $\partial_t v - \Delta v = |\nabla v|^2 = \Gamma(v)$ ,

here  $\Gamma(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y)(f(y) - f(x))^2$



### 3. The $CD_\Upsilon$ condition

Markov chain setting,  $X$  finite or countable,

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y)(f(y) - f(x)),$$

where  $k(x, y) \geq 0$  for  $x \neq y$ , and  $\sum_{y \in X} k(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Question:** Is there a natural analogue to  $CD(\kappa, d)$  with corresponding implications? (Li-Yau, functional inequalities,...)

Markov chain setting,  $X$  finite or countable,

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y)(f(y) - f(x)),$$

where  $k(x, y) \geq 0$  for  $x \neq y$ , and  $\sum_{y \in X} k(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Question:** Is there a natural analogue to  $CD(\kappa, d)$  with corresponding implications? (Li-Yau, functional inequalities,...)

Recall:  $CD(\kappa, d)$  means  $\Gamma_2(f) \geq \kappa\Gamma(f) + \frac{1}{d}(Lf)^2$ .

Markov chain setting,  $X$  finite or countable,

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y)(f(y) - f(x)),$$

where  $k(x, y) \geq 0$  for  $x \neq y$ , and  $\sum_{y \in X} k(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Question:** Is there a natural analogue to  $CD(\kappa, d)$  with corresponding implications? (Li-Yau, functional inequalities,...)

Recall:  $CD(\kappa, d)$  means  $\Gamma_2(f) \geq \kappa\Gamma(f) + \frac{1}{d}(Lf)^2$ .

We have to identify suitable replacements for all three terms.

In the **diffusion setting**(chain rule!) with invariant and reversible probability measure  $\mu$ :

$$\mathcal{H}(P_t f) = \int_X P_t f \log(P_t f) d\mu, \quad (\text{entropy})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(P_t f) = - \int_X P_t f \Gamma(\log(P_t f)) d\mu, \quad (\text{neg. Fisher information})$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{H}(P_t f) = 2 \int_X P_t f \Gamma_2(\log(P_t f)) d\mu.$$

In the **diffusion setting** (chain rule!) with invariant and reversible probability measure  $\mu$ :

$$\mathcal{H}(P_t f) = \int_X P_t f \log(P_t f) d\mu, \quad (\text{entropy})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(P_t f) = - \int_X P_t f \Gamma(\log(P_t f)) d\mu, \quad (\text{neg. Fisher information})$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{H}(P_t f) = 2 \int_X P_t f \Gamma_2(\log(P_t f)) d\mu.$$

In the **discrete setting**: (see Weber, Z. JFA, 2021; Weber Electron. J. Probab., 2021)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(P_t f) = - \int_X P_t f \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log(P_t f)) d\mu,$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{H}(P_t f) = 2 \int_X P_t f \Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(\log(P_t f)) d\mu.$$

Here

$$\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) = \frac{1}{2}(L\Psi_{\Upsilon}(f) - B_{\Upsilon'}(f, Lf)),$$

where  $(\Upsilon'(z) = e^z - 1)$

$$B_{\Upsilon'}(f, g)(x) = \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y) \Upsilon'(f(y) - f(x)) (g(y) - g(x)).$$

Here

$$\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) = \frac{1}{2}(L\Psi_\Upsilon(f) - B_{\Upsilon'}(f, Lf)),$$

where  $(\Upsilon'(z) = e^z - 1)$

$$B_{\Upsilon'}(f, g)(x) = \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y) \Upsilon'(f(y) - f(x)) (g(y) - g(x)).$$

### Definition

$L$  satisfies  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, F)$  with  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and CD-function  $F$  if for all (suitable)  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (and with  $F_0 := F\chi_{[0,\infty)}$ )

$$\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) \geq \kappa \Psi_\Upsilon(f) + F_0(-Lf) \quad \text{on } X.$$

$L$  satisfies  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, d)$  with  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $d \in [1, \infty]$  if

$$\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) \geq \kappa \Psi_\Upsilon(f) + \frac{1}{d}(Lf)^2 \quad \text{on } X.$$



Important properties (see Weber, Z. in JFA, 2021):

- $CD_{\Upsilon}(\kappa, \infty)$  with  $\kappa > 0$  implies the modified log-Sobolev inequality (MLSI) with constant  $\kappa$  ( $\Rightarrow$  exp. decay of entropy)

MLSI( $\alpha$ ) with  $\alpha > 0$ :  $\mathcal{H}(f) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \mathcal{I}(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,

where (with  $d\mu = \pi d\#$ )

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{\rho : X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ s.t. } \int_X \rho d\mu = \sum_{x \in X} \rho(x) \pi(x) = 1\},$$
$$\mathcal{H}(f) = \int_X f \log f d\mu = \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \log(f(x)) \pi(x),$$

Important properties (see Weber, Z. in JFA, 2021):

- $CD_{\Upsilon}(\kappa, \infty)$  with  $\kappa > 0$  implies the modified log-Sobolev inequality (MLSI) with constant  $\kappa$  ( $\Rightarrow$  exp. decay of entropy)

MLSI( $\alpha$ ) with  $\alpha > 0$ :  $\mathcal{H}(f) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \mathcal{I}(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,

where (with  $d\mu = \pi d\#$ )

$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{\rho : X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ s.t. } \int_X \rho d\mu = \sum_{x \in X} \rho(x) \pi(x) = 1\}$ ,

$\mathcal{H}(f) = \int_X f \log f d\mu = \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \log(f(x)) \pi(x)$ ,

and (using detailed balance, i.e.  $\pi(x)k(x, y) = \pi(y)k(y, x)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(f) &= \int_X f \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log f) d\mu \\ &= \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \sum_{y \in X} k(x, y) \Upsilon(\log f(y) - \log f(x)) \pi(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in X} k(x, y) (f(y) - f(x)) (\log f(y) - \log f(x)) \pi(x). \end{aligned}$$

- $CD_{\Upsilon}(\kappa, \infty)$  is characterised by the gradient bound

$$\Psi_{\Upsilon}(P_t f) \leq e^{-2\kappa t} P_t(\Psi_{\Upsilon}(f)), \quad t > 0.$$

- $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, \infty)$  is characterised by the gradient bound

$$\Psi_\Upsilon(P_t f) \leq e^{-2\kappa t} P_t(\Psi_\Upsilon(f)), \quad t > 0.$$

- curvature bounds are preserved under tensorization

$L_i$ : generator of a Markov chain on  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;  $L := L_1 \oplus L_2$  generates a chain on  $X_1 \times X_2$ .

If  $L_i$  satisfies  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa_i, \infty)$  with  $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $L$  satisfies  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, \infty)$  with  $\kappa = \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ .

- $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, \infty)$  is characterised by the gradient bound

$$\Psi_\Upsilon(P_t f) \leq e^{-2\kappa t} P_t(\Psi_\Upsilon(f)), \quad t > 0.$$

- curvature bounds are preserved under tensorization

$L_i$ : generator of a Markov chain on  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;  $L := L_1 \oplus L_2$  generates a chain on  $X_1 \times X_2$ .

If  $L_i$  satisfies  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa_i, \infty)$  with  $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $L$  satisfies  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, \infty)$  with  $\kappa = \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ .

- $CD_\Upsilon$  is compatible with the diffusion setting; there is a natural notion of  $\Gamma \oplus \Psi_\Upsilon$  and  $(\Gamma \oplus \Psi_\Upsilon)_2$ , tensorization principle for hybrid processes

## Further remarks:

- $L\Psi_{\Upsilon'}(f) = 2\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) + B_{\exp}(f, Lf)$  with  $B_{\exp}(f, Lf) \geq 0$  in the situation of the CD condition from [DKZ]
- direct link between  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, \infty)$  and entropic curvature bound not known;  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, d)$  is equivalent to Münch's  $CD_\psi(d, \kappa)$  with  $\psi = \log$  (not obvious!);  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, \infty)$  is closely linked to Monmarché's generalised  $\Gamma$ -calculus (2019)
- $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, d) \Rightarrow CD(\kappa, d)$ .

### Examples:

- unweighted complete graph  $K_n$ :  $CD_\Upsilon(\sqrt{2n}, \infty)$  holds for all  $n \geq 2$ ; this is optimal for  $n = 2$  since  $CD(2, \infty)$  is optimal;  $CD(1 + \frac{n}{2}, \infty)$  is optimal for  $n \geq 2$

### Examples:

- unweighted complete graph  $K_n$ :  $CD_\Upsilon(\sqrt{2n}, \infty)$  holds for all  $n \geq 2$ ; this is optimal for  $n = 2$  since  $CD(2, \infty)$  is optimal;  $CD(1 + \frac{n}{2}, \infty)$  is optimal for  $n \geq 2$
- $n$ -dimensional hypercube:  $CD_\Upsilon(2, \infty)$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and is optimal (since  $CD(2, \infty)$  is optimal for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ); easy proof by induction and tensorization and the result for  $K_2$



## Examples:

- unweighted complete graph  $K_n$ :  $CD_\Upsilon(\sqrt{2n}, \infty)$  holds for all  $n \geq 2$ ; this is optimal for  $n = 2$  since  $CD(2, \infty)$  is optimal;  $CD(1 + \frac{n}{2}, \infty)$  is optimal for  $n \geq 2$
- $n$ -dimensional hypercube:  $CD_\Upsilon(2, \infty)$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and is optimal (since  $CD(2, \infty)$  is optimal for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ); easy proof by induction and tensorization and the result for  $K_2$
- unweighted 3-star:  $CD_\Upsilon(0, \infty)$  fails at center point, but there holds  $CD_\Upsilon(\kappa, \infty)$  with some  $\kappa \in (-\infty, 0)$

## 4. Long-range jump operators

Consider nonlocal operators on the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}$  of the form

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k(j)(f(x+j) - f(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4)$$

with a kernel  $k : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $0 < \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k(j) < \infty$ ,  $k(-j) = k(j)$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $k(0) = 0$ .

**Important example:** (linked to frac. discr. Laplacian for  $\beta \in (0, 2)$ )

$$k_\beta(j) = \frac{c}{|j|^{1+\beta}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \text{with } c, \beta > 0.$$

Consider nonlocal operators on the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}$  of the form

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k(j)(f(x+j) - f(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4)$$

with a kernel  $k : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $0 < \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} k(j) < \infty$ ,  $k(-j) = k(j)$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $k(0) = 0$ .

**Important example:** (linked to frac. discr. Laplacian for  $\beta \in (0, 2)$ )

$$k_\beta(j) = \frac{c}{|j|^{1+\beta}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \text{with } c, \beta > 0.$$

**Theorem:** (Spener, Weber, Z., Calc. Var. PDE 2019)

- $k$  non-incr. on  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} k(j)j^2 < \infty \Rightarrow \exists d < \infty : CD(0, d)$  holds.
- $k = k_\beta$ ,  $\beta > 2 \Rightarrow CD(0, d)$  holds for some  $d < \infty$ .
- $k = k_\beta$ ,  $\beta < 2 \Rightarrow CD(0, d)$  fails for all  $d < \infty$ .

More flexibility by  $CD_{\Upsilon}(0, F)$ 

What about  $\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) \geq F_0(-Lf)$ ? Note that

$$\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}} k(j)k(l) e^{f(x+l)-f(x)} \Upsilon(f(x+j+l) - f(x+j) - f(x+l) + f(x)),$$

where  $\Upsilon(z) = e^z - 1 - z$ .

## More flexibility by $CD_{\Upsilon}(0, F)$

What about  $\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) \geq F_0(-Lf)$ ? Note that

$$\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}} k(j)k(l) e^{f(x+l)-f(x)} \Upsilon(f(x+j+l) - f(x+j) - f(x+l) + f(x)),$$

where  $\Upsilon(z) = e^z - 1 - z$ .

**Theorem:** (Spener, Weber, Z., DCDS 2024)

- $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} k(j)^{1-\delta} < \infty$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow \Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) \geq c|Lf|^\gamma$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{1+\delta}{\delta}$ .

## More flexibility by $CD_{\Upsilon}(0, F)$

What about  $\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) \geq F_0(-Lf)$ ? Note that

$$\Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}} k(j)k(l) e^{f(x+l)-f(x)} \Upsilon(f(x+j+l)-f(x+j)-f(x+l)+f(x)),$$

where  $\Upsilon(z) = e^z - 1 - z$ .

**Theorem:** (Spener, Weber, Z., DCDS 2024)

- $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} k(j)^{1-\delta} < \infty$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow \Psi_{2,\Upsilon}(f) \geq c|Lf|^\gamma$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{1+\delta}{\delta}$ .
- $k = k_\beta$ ,  $\beta \in (0, \infty) \Rightarrow CD_{\Upsilon}(0, F)$  holds with some CD-function  $F$ , which grows exponentially at  $\infty$  and satisfies  $F(x) \sim cx^\gamma$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  where  $\gamma = 2$  for  $\beta > 2$  and  $(\beta_* = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$

$$\gamma = \frac{1+2\beta}{\beta} \text{ for } \beta \in (0, \beta_*], \quad \gamma = \frac{\beta - \varepsilon}{\beta - \varepsilon - 1} \text{ for } \beta \in (\beta_*, 2].$$

**Theorem:** (Spener, Weber, Z., DCDS 2024)

Let  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  and  $L_\beta$  the operator associated with  $k_\beta$ . Any bdd. function  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  that is  $C^1$  in time and solves  $\partial_t u = L_\beta u$  on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies the Li-Yau estimate

$$-L_\beta \log u(t, x) \leq \varphi(t), \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\varphi$  is the relax. function corresp. to  $F$  from above.

( $\varphi(t) \sim -c \log t$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varphi(t) \sim ct^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ )

Moreover, we have the Harnack inequality

$$u(t_1, x_1) \leq u(t_2, x_2) \exp \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi(t) \, dt + \frac{2|x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1+\beta, 2\}}}{t_2 - t_1} \right),$$

for  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . ( $t_1 = 0$  possible,  $\varphi$  is integrable at 0!)



**Theorem:** (Spener, Weber, Z., DCDS 2024)

Let  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  and  $L_\beta$  the operator associated with  $k_\beta$ . Any bdd. function  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  that is  $C^1$  in time and solves  $\partial_t u = L_\beta u$  on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies the Li-Yau estimate

$$-L_\beta \log u(t, x) \leq \varphi(t), \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\varphi$  is the relax. function corresp. to  $F$  from above.

( $\varphi(t) \sim -c \log t$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varphi(t) \sim ct^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ )

Moreover, we have the Harnack inequality

$$u(t_1, x_1) \leq u(t_2, x_2) \exp \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi(t) \, dt + \frac{2|x_1 - x_2|^{\min\{1+\beta, 2\}}}{t_2 - t_1} \right),$$

for  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . ( $t_1 = 0$  possible,  $\varphi$  is integrable at 0!)

**Remark:** Same result for frac. **discrete** Laplacian  $-(\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$  & heat kernel estimates

## The fractional Laplacian has infinite dimension

Let  $\beta \in (0, 2)$  and consider for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$Lu(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u(x) = c_{\beta,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x+h) - 2u(x) + u(x-h)}{|h|^{d+\beta}} dh.$$

$$\Gamma(u)(x) = c_{\beta,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(x+h) - u(x))^2}{|h|^{d+\beta}} dh,$$

$$\Gamma_2(u)(x) = c_{\beta,d}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{[u(x+h+\sigma) - u(x+h) - u(x+\sigma) + u(x)]^2}{|h|^{d+\beta} |\sigma|^{d+\beta}} dh d\sigma.$$

## The fractional Laplacian has infinite dimension

Let  $\beta \in (0, 2)$  and consider for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$Lu(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u(x) = c_{\beta,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x+h) - 2u(x) + u(x-h)}{|h|^{d+\beta}} dh.$$

$$\Gamma(u)(x) = c_{\beta,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(x+h) - u(x))^2}{|h|^{d+\beta}} dh,$$

$$\Gamma_2(u)(x) = c_{\beta,d}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{[u(x+h+\sigma) - u(x+h) - u(x+\sigma) + u(x)]^2}{|h|^{d+\beta} |\sigma|^{d+\beta}} dh d\sigma.$$

**Theorem:** (Spener, Weber, Z., Comm. PDE 2020)

For any  $R > 0$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \in (0, \infty)$  there is a  $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  s.t.

$$0 < \Gamma_2(u)(x) < \kappa \Gamma(u)(x) + \frac{1}{N} (L(u)(x))^2, \quad \forall x \in B(0, R).$$

## The fractional Laplacian has infinite dimension

Let  $\beta \in (0, 2)$  and consider for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$Lu(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u(x) = c_{\beta,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x+h) - 2u(x) + u(x-h)}{|h|^{d+\beta}} dh.$$

$$\Gamma(u)(x) = c_{\beta,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(x+h) - u(x))^2}{|h|^{d+\beta}} dh,$$

$$\Gamma_2(u)(x) = c_{\beta,d}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{[u(x+h+\sigma) - u(x+h) - u(x+\sigma) + u(x)]^2}{|h|^{d+\beta} |\sigma|^{d+\beta}} dh d\sigma.$$

**Theorem:** (Spener, Weber, Z., Comm. PDE 2020)

For any  $R > 0$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \in (0, \infty)$  there is a  $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  s.t.

$$0 < \Gamma_2(u)(x) < \kappa \Gamma(u)(x) + \frac{1}{N} (L(u)(x))^2, \quad \forall x \in B(0, R).$$

- (i) negative answer to a question by Garofalo (2019)
- (ii)  $CD_{\Upsilon}(0, d)$  must fail as well, but scaling suggests  $\varphi(t) \leq \frac{c}{t}$ ;  
thus only little hope w.r.t. the CD approach! Other CD-conditions?

## 5. Reduction to the heat kernel

## Reduction to the heat kernel for the heat equation

**Lemma:** Let  $Pf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(x, y)f(y) dy$ , for sufficiently regular, positive functions  $H$  and  $f$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x \log H(x, y)|^2 H(x, y)f(y) dy \geq |\nabla \log Pf(x)|^2 Pf(x). \quad (5)$$

*Proof:* By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{x_i} Pf(x))^2 &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_i} H(x, y)f(y) dy \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(\partial_{x_i} H(x, y))^2}{H(x, y)} f(y) dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(x, y)f(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

which directly leads to (5) by summing up and employing the chain rule for the gradient ( $\nabla(\log g) = \frac{\nabla g}{g}$ ).

For the **heat kernel**  $H(t, x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}$  we have

$$-\Delta_x(\log H(t, x, y)) = \frac{d}{2t} =: \varphi(t). \quad (6)$$

For any positive solution  $u$  of the heat equation,

$$\partial_t u - u\Delta(\log u) = u|\nabla(\log u)|^2.$$

In particular,

$$\partial_t H(t, x, y) + H(t, x, y)\varphi(t) = H(t, x, y)|\nabla_x(\log H(t, x, y))|^2$$

Consider a positive solution  $u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy$  of the heat equation (Widder's type theorem!). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t, x) + \varphi(t) u(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t H(t, x, y) + \varphi(t) H(t, x, y)) u_0(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\nabla_x (\log H(t, x, y))|^2 H(t, x, y)) u_0(y) dy \\ &\geq |\nabla (\log u(t, x))|^2 u(t, x) \quad (\text{by the Lemma}) \\ &= \partial_t u(t, x) - u(t, x) \Delta (\log u(t, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$-\Delta (\log u(t, x)) \leq \varphi(t) = \frac{d}{2t}.$$

Note that we only need  $-\Delta_x (\log H(t, x, y)) \leq \varphi(t)$ .

**Conclusion:** Li-Yau for heat kernel implies Li-Yau for pos. solutions.



## Reduction to the heat kernel for the fractional heat equation

**Question:** Is there a similar argument for the fractional heat equation (FHE)?

Let  $u$  be a positive solution of the FHE. Then

$$\partial_t(\log u) + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\log u) = \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log u),$$

where

$$\Psi_{\Upsilon}(v)(x) = c_{\beta,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\Upsilon(v(y) - v(x))}{|x - y|^{d+\beta}} dy$$

with  $\Upsilon(z) = e^z - 1 - z$ . Equivalently,

$$\partial_t u + u(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\log u) = u \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log u).$$

Recall the key inequality from the local case

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x \log H(x, y)|^2 H(x, y) f(y) dy \geq |\nabla \log Pf(x)|^2 Pf(x),$$

where  $Pf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(x, y) f(y) dy$  and  $H$  and  $f$  are sufficiently regular, positive functions.

Recall the key inequality from the local case

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x \log H(x, y)|^2 H(x, y) f(y) dy \geq |\nabla \log Pf(x)|^2 Pf(x),$$

where  $Pf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(x, y) f(y) dy$  and  $H$  and  $f$  are sufficiently regular, positive functions.

**Key lemma:** (Weber, Z. in Math. Ann. 2023) Let  $P, H, f$  be as before. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log H(\cdot, y))(x) H(x, y) f(y) dy \geq \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log Pf)(x) Pf(x).$$

*Proof:* Use the convexity of  $r \mapsto \Upsilon(\log r) = r - \log r - 1$ .

**Remark:** The lemma extends to more general nonlocal operators.

Positive (strong) solutions  $u$  of the FHE can be expressed as

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^{(\beta)}(t, x - y) u_0(y) dy, \quad (7)$$

where  $G^{(\beta)}$  is the fund. sol. of the FHE, see Barrios, Peral, Soria, Valdinoci, ARMA (2014).

Set  $H(t, x, y) = G^{(\beta)}(t, x - y)$ . Using the lemma we can argue as before to see the implication

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\log G^{(\beta)})(t, x) \leq \varphi(t) \Rightarrow (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\log u)(t, x) \leq \varphi(t).$$

**Question:** For which function  $\varphi$  do we have

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\log G^{(\beta)})(t, x) \leq \varphi(t) \quad ?$$

**Lemma:** For all  $\beta \in (0, 2)$ ,  $t > 0$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\log G^{(\beta)})(t, x) \leq \frac{C_{LY}(\beta, d)}{t},$$

where the finite constant  $C_{LY}(\beta, d) > 0$  is given by

$$C_{LY}(\beta, d) = \frac{c_{\beta, d}}{2} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\log \left( \frac{\Phi_{\beta}(y)^2}{\Phi_{\beta}(y+\sigma)\Phi_{\beta}(y-\sigma)} \right)}{|\sigma|^{d+\beta}} d\sigma,$$

with  $\Phi_{\beta}(y) = G^{(\beta)}(1, y)$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Note that  $G^{(\beta)}(t, x) = t^{-\frac{d}{\beta}} \Phi_{\beta}(xt^{-\frac{1}{\beta}})$ .  $C_{LY}(1, d) = \frac{d(d+1)}{2B\left(\frac{d+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}$ .

$C_{LY}(\beta, d)$  is the smallest constant among all  $C > 0$  satisfying

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\log G^{(\beta)})(t, x) \leq \frac{C}{t}, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

**Theorem:** (Weber, Z., Math. Ann. 2023))

Let  $\beta \in (0, 2)$  and  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  a strong solution of

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then for all  $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have the Li-Yau inequality

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} (\log u)(t, x) \leq \frac{C_{LY}(\beta, d)}{t} \quad (8)$$

and, equivalently, the differential Harnack inequality

$$\partial_t (\log u)(t, x) \geq \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log u)(t, x) - \frac{C_{LY}(\beta, d)}{t}. \quad (9)$$

**Theorem:** (Weber, Z., Math. Ann. 2023))

Let  $\beta \in (0, 2)$  and  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  a strong solution of

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then for all  $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have the Li-Yau inequality

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} (\log u)(t, x) \leq \frac{C_{LY}(\beta, d)}{t} \quad (8)$$

and, equivalently, the differential Harnack inequality

$$\partial_t (\log u)(t, x) \geq \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log u)(t, x) - \frac{C_{LY}(\beta, d)}{t}. \quad (9)$$

**Remark:** different form of differential Harnack inequality with a  $|\nabla \log u|^2$  term by Ghosh, Kassmann, unpublished (2020/2021)

**Theorem:** (Weber, Z., Math. Ann. 2023))

Let  $\beta \in (0, 2)$  and  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  a strong solution of

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then for all  $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have the Li-Yau inequality

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} (\log u)(t, x) \leq \frac{C_{LY}(\beta, d)}{t} \quad (8)$$

and, equivalently, the differential Harnack inequality

$$\partial_t (\log u)(t, x) \geq \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log u)(t, x) - \frac{C_{LY}(\beta, d)}{t}. \quad (9)$$

**Remark:** different form of differential Harnack inequality with a  $|\nabla \log u|^2$  term by Ghosh, Kassmann, unpublished (2020/2021)

**Question:** Can we derive a Harnack inequality from (9)?



## Li-Yau implies Harnack for the fractional heat equation

Turns out to be much more involved than in the classical case and in the discrete setting!

**Theorem :** (Weber, Z. in Math. Ann., 2023)

Let  $\beta \in (0, 2)$  and  $u : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be sufficiently smooth. Then the differential Harnack inequality

$$\partial_t(\log u)(t, x) \geq \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log u)(t, x) - \frac{C_{LY}(\beta, d)}{t}, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

implies that there exists a constant  $C = C(\beta, d) > 0$  s.t. for all  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  there holds

$$u(t_1, x_1) \leq u(t_2, x_2) \left( \frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^{C_{LY}} \exp \left( C \left[ 1 + \frac{|x_1 - x_2|^{\beta+d}}{(t_2 - t_1)^{1+\frac{d}{\beta}}} \right] \right).$$

Some known results on the parabolic Harnack inequality for the space fractional heat equation:

- Bass, Levin, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2002); Chen, Kumagai, Stochastic Process. Appl. (2003): local solutions, probabilistic methods
- Chang-Lara, D'avila, J. Differential Equations (2016): local solutions in a rough non-var. setting, purely analytic proof
- Kassmann, Weidner, arxiv (2024): local solutions in rough variational setting
- Bonforte, Sire, Vázquez, Nonlinear Anal. (2017): global solutions, estimates based on fundamental solution, Harnack inequalities of [forward/backward/elliptic type](#)

## Improved differential Harnack inequality

The fundamental solution  $G^{(\beta)}$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 2)$ , satisfies

$$t|\partial_t G^{(\beta)}(t, x)| \leq c_{\beta, d} G^{(\beta)}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (10)$$

see Vázquez, de Pablo, Quirós, Rodríguez (JEMS 2017).

Bonforte, Sire, Vázquez (2017) also use (10) and show in addition  $t\partial_t G^{(\beta)} \geq -\frac{d}{\beta} G^{(\beta)}$ . By means of (10) we can show

**Theorem:** (Weber, Z., in prep.)

For any strong sol.  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  of FHE ( $\beta \in (0, 2)$ )

$$|\partial_t(\log u)| + \Psi_{\Upsilon}(\log u) \leq \frac{\tilde{C}(\beta, d)}{t} \text{ in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (11)$$

(11) yields Harnack inequalities of forward/backward/elliptic type.

## 6. Hybrid problems: approach based on CD-conditions

Consider the reaction-diffusion system ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ )

$$\partial_t u_i(t, x) - \Delta u_i(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^m k(i, j) (u_j(t, x) - u_i(t, x)), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (12)$$

where  $k$  is as in the Markov chain setting. Simplest example:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1(t, x) - \Delta u_1(t, x) = u_2(t, x) - u_1(t, x), \\ \partial_t u_2(t, x) - \Delta u_2(t, x) = u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x). \end{cases}$$

special structure of RHS in (12)  $\Rightarrow$  cooperative parabolic system,  
Harnack estimates known (Földes, Poláčik in DCDS, 2009)

**Question:** Can we derive differential Harnack inequalities?

**Idea:** Consider the index  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  as additional spatial variable, define  $u(t, x, i) := u_i(t, x)$ . Write the system as

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u - L_d u = 0, \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \{1, \dots, m\},$$

where  $L_d$  acts in  $i$ ,  $L_d f(i) = \sum_{j=1}^m k(i, j)(f(j) - f(i))$ .

**Idea:** Consider the index  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  as additional spatial variable, define  $u(t, x, i) := u_i(t, x)$ . Write the system as

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u - L_d u = 0, \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \{1, \dots, m\},$$

where  $L_d$  acts in  $i$ ,  $L_d f(i) = \sum_{j=1}^m k(i, j)(f(j) - f(i))$ .

More generally, consider an operator sum of Markov generators  $L_c \oplus L_d$  on  $X \times Y$  where:

$L_c$  acts w.r.t. the **continuous** variable  $x \in X$  (with diffusion property),

$L_d$  acts w.r.t. the **discrete** variable  $y \in Y$ .

**Hybrid CD-condition?**

**Def.:**  $L_c \oplus L_d$  satisfies  $CD_{hyb}(\kappa, d)$  for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $d \in [1, \infty]$  if for all suitable  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(\Gamma \oplus \Psi_{\Upsilon})_2(f) \geq \kappa(\Gamma \oplus \Psi_{\Upsilon})(f) + \frac{1}{d}((L_c \oplus L_d)f)^2.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma \oplus \Psi_{\Upsilon})_2(f) := & \frac{1}{2} \left( (L_c \oplus L_d)(\Gamma \oplus \Psi_{\Upsilon})(f) \right. \\ & \left. - 2\Gamma(f, (L_c \oplus L_d)(f)) - B_{\Upsilon'}(f, (L_c \oplus L_d)(f)) \right) \end{aligned}$$



**Def.:**  $L_c \oplus L_d$  satisfies  $CD_{hyb}(\kappa, d)$  for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $d \in [1, \infty]$  if for all suitable  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(\Gamma \oplus \Psi_{\Upsilon})_2(f) \geq \kappa(\Gamma \oplus \Psi_{\Upsilon})(f) + \frac{1}{d}((L_c \oplus L_d)f)^2.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma \oplus \Psi_{\Upsilon})_2(f) := & \frac{1}{2} \left( (L_c \oplus L_d)(\Gamma \oplus \Psi_{\Upsilon})(f) \right. \\ & \left. - 2\Gamma(f, (L_c \oplus L_d)(f)) - B_{\Upsilon'}(f, (L_c \oplus L_d)(f)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

**Tensorization principle:** (Kräss, Z. on arxiv, 2023)

If  $L_c$  satisfies  $CD(\kappa_1, d_1)$  and  $L_d$  satisfies  $CD_{\Upsilon}(\kappa_2, d_2)$ , then  $L_c \oplus L_d$  satisfies  $CD_{hyb}(\kappa, d)$  with  $\kappa = \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ ,  $d = d_1 + d_2$ .

Back to the sum  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta \oplus L_d$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times Y$ ,  $Y = \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Assume:  $Y$  connected and  $k(y_1, y_2) > 0 \Leftrightarrow k(y_2, y_1) > 0$ .

$k_0$  is minimum of all pos.  $k$  values.

**Theorem:** (Kräss, Z. on arxiv, 2023)

Let  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be sufficiently smooth and  $\partial_t u - \mathcal{L}u = 0$  on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times Y$ . Assume  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies  $CD_{hyb}(0, d)$  for some  $d \in [1, \infty)$ . Then  $v = \log u$  satisfies

$$-\mathcal{L}v = (|\nabla v|^2 + \Psi_Y(v)) - \partial_t v \leq \frac{d}{2t} \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times Y.$$

Moreover, for  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  and  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ ,

$$u(t_1, x_1, y_1) \leq u(t_2, x_2, y_2) \left( \frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left( \frac{|x_2 - x_1|^2}{4(t_2 - t_1)} + 2 \frac{\text{dist}(y_1, y_2)^2}{k_0(t_2 - t_1)} \right).$$

Back to the sum  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta \oplus L_d$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times Y$ ,  $Y = \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Assume:  $Y$  connected and  $k(y_1, y_2) > 0 \Leftrightarrow k(y_2, y_1) > 0$ .

$k_0$  is minimum of all pos.  $k$  values.

**Theorem:** (Kräss, Z. on arxiv, 2023)

Let  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be sufficiently smooth and  $\partial_t u - \mathcal{L}u = 0$  on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times Y$ . Assume  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies  $CD_{hyb}(0, d)$  for some  $d \in [1, \infty)$ . Then  $v = \log u$  satisfies

$$-\mathcal{L}v = (|\nabla v|^2 + \Psi_Y(v)) - \partial_t v \leq \frac{d}{2t} \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times Y.$$

Moreover, for  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  and  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ ,

$$u(t_1, x_1, y_1) \leq u(t_2, x_2, y_2) \left( \frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left( \frac{|x_2 - x_1|^2}{4(t_2 - t_1)} + 2 \frac{\text{dist}(y_1, y_2)^2}{k_0(t_2 - t_1)} \right).$$

**Remark:** There is also a version for local (w.r.t.  $x$ ) solutions.

Illustration: Consider the simple RD-system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1(t, x) - \Delta u_1(t, x) = u_2(t, x) - u_1(t, x), \\ \partial_t u_2(t, x) - \Delta u_2(t, x) = u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x). \end{cases}$$

Here,  $Y$  is the two-point graph,  $L_d$  satisfies  $CD_Y(0, d_2)$  with  $d_2 \approx 1,258$ .  $\Delta$  satisfies  $CD(0, n)$ . By tensorization,  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta \oplus L_d$  satisfies  $CD_{hyb}(0, d)$  with  $d = n + d_2$ .

**Illustration:** Consider the simple RD-system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1(t, x) - \Delta u_1(t, x) = u_2(t, x) - u_1(t, x), \\ \partial_t u_2(t, x) - \Delta u_2(t, x) = u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x). \end{cases}$$

Here,  $Y$  is the two-point graph,  $L_d$  satisfies  $CD_Y(0, d_2)$  with  $d_2 \approx 1,258$ .  $\Delta$  satisfies  $CD(0, n)$ . By tensorization,  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta \oplus L_d$  satisfies  $CD_{hyb}(0, d)$  with  $d = n + d_2$ . We obtain that for  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$u_i(t_1, x_1) \leq u_j(t_2, x_2) \left( \frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^{\frac{n+d_2}{2}} \exp \left( \frac{|x_2 - x_1|^2}{4(t_2 - t_1)} + \frac{2|j - i|^2}{t_2 - t_1} \right).$$

**Illustration:** Consider the simple RD-system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1(t, x) - \Delta u_1(t, x) = u_2(t, x) - u_1(t, x), \\ \partial_t u_2(t, x) - \Delta u_2(t, x) = u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x). \end{cases}$$

Here,  $Y$  is the two-point graph,  $L_d$  satisfies  $CD_Y(0, d_2)$  with  $d_2 \approx 1,258$ .  $\Delta$  satisfies  $CD(0, n)$ . By tensorization,  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta \oplus L_d$  satisfies  $CD_{hyb}(0, d)$  with  $d = n + d_2$ . We obtain that for  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$u_i(t_1, x_1) \leq u_j(t_2, x_2) \left( \frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^{\frac{n+d_2}{2}} \exp \left( \frac{|x_2 - x_1|^2}{4(t_2 - t_1)} + \frac{2|j - i|^2}{t_2 - t_1} \right).$$

**Remark:** Open problem: different diffusion coefficients; then tensorization fails, since the operators in the sum do not commute

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

## References

- ▶ A. Spener, F. Weber, R. Zacher: Curvature-dimension inequalities for non-local operators in the discrete setting. *Calc. Var. Part. Diff. Equ.* **58** (2019), Paper No. 171.
- ▶ A. Spener, F. Weber, R. Zacher: The fractional Laplacian has infinite dimension. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **45** (2020), 57–75.
- ▶ F. Weber, R. Zacher: The entropy method under curvature-dimension conditions in the spirit of Bakry-Émery in the discrete setting of Markov chains. *J. Funct. Anal.* 281 (2021), no. 5, Paper No. 109061.
- ▶ F. Weber, R. Zacher: Li-Yau inequalities for general non-local diffusion equations via reduction to the heat kernel. *Math. Ann.* **385** (2023), 393–419.
- ▶ S. Kräss, F. Weber, R. Zacher: Li-Yau and Harnack inequalities via curvature-dimension conditions for discrete long-range jump operators including the fractional discrete Laplacian. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **44** (2024), 1982–2028.